



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
10/655,326	09/04/2003	Troy S. Waldrep	5854-00400	6111				
7590 Conley Rose, P.C. P.O. Box 684908 Austin, TX 78768-4908		05/03/2007	<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">RUTTEN, JAMES D</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		RUTTEN, JAMES D	
EXAMINER								
RUTTEN, JAMES D								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>2192</td><td></td></tr></table>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2192		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER							
2192								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>05/03/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	05/03/2007	PAPER	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE							
05/03/2007	PAPER							

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/655,326

Applicant(s)

WALDREP, TROY S.

Examiner

J. Derek Rutten

Art Unit

2192

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J. Derek Rutten.

(3) _____.

(2) Mollie Lettang, Reg. No. 48,405.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-23.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Issues surrounding the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101 were discussed, in particular with reference to the term "storage medium" and its associated definition in the specification. Also, clarification was provided regarding the useful result provided by the claims. Mr. Rutten suggested that the paragraph at the bottom of page 9 continuing on page 10 provided a conflicting definition of the term "storage medium." Ms. Lettang argued that the useful result was provided by the "programming instructions" in claim 1. The examiner suggested that a subsequent filing pointing out the tangible definition of the term "storage medium," as well as the useful result as appearing in the claims could obviate the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101.